arXiv:1612.03693v1 [math.AG] 12 Dec 2016

Multiple Dedekind zeta values are periods

Ivan Horozov

Abstract

In this paper, we prove the conjecture (from [H1]) that multiple Dedekind zeta values are periods in the sense of Kontsevich-Zagier.

To my adviser **Alexander Goncharov** with appreciation for his mentoring.

Key words: Multiple zeta values, Dedekind zeta values, mixed Tate periods, iterated integrals

MSC 2010: 11M32, 11R42, 14G10, 14J40, 32J25,

0 Introduction

The Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

is widely used in number theory and algebraic geometry. Euler-Zagier multiple zeta values

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_m) = \sum_{0<n_1<\cdots<n_m} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_m^{s_m}},$$

where $s_1, \dots, s_m$ are positive integers and $s_m \geq 2$, are periods of mixed Tate motives over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Dedekind zeta values

$$\zeta_K(s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \neq (0)} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s},$$

are a generalization of the Riemann zeta to a number field K. For $s = 2, 3, 4 \dots$ the values $\zeta_K(s)$ are periods of mixed Tate motives over the ring of algebraic integers in K with ramification only at the discriminant of K.

In [H1], the author has constructed multiple Dedekind zeta values, which are a generalization of Euler-Zagier multiple zeta values to number fields in the same way as Dedekind zeta values generalizes Riemann zeta values. The key examples of multiple Dedekind zeta values are

$$\zeta_{K;C}(s_1, \dots, s_m) = \tag{0.1}$$

$$\sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in C} \frac{1}{N(\alpha_1)^{s_1} N(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^{s_2} \cdots N(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m)^{s_m}}, \tag{0.2}$$

where $s_1, \ldots, s_m$ are positive integers and $s_m \geq 2$. In [H1], the author has also proven that multiple Dedekind zeta values can be interpolated to multiple Dedekind zeta functions, which have meromorphic continuation to all complex values of the variables $s_1, \ldots, s_m$.

In [H2], we have proven that multiple Dedekind zeta values over a real quadratic field are periods of mixed Tate motives unramified over the number ring, when the cone of definition is generated by 1 and a totally positive unit.

In this paper we prove the following result

Theorem 0.1 *Multiple Dedekind zeta values of the type given by Equation* (0.1) *are periods in the sense of Kontsevich-Zagier.*

1 Reduction to algebraic powers of variables

We recall the iterated integrals on membranes that define multiple Dedekind zeta values.

Let K be a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the ring of integers in K. We define a cone similar to the ones given in [Z], [Sh], [H1]. And let C be a cone defined as $\mathbb{N}$-linear combination of its generators $e_1, ..., e_n$ from $\mathcal{O}_K$, which are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$,

$$C = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K \,|\, \alpha = a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_n e_n, \text{ for positive integers } a_1, \ldots, a_n\}.$$

Consider the n real embeddings of K into $\mathbb{R}$. Let us denote them by $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$. Denote by $\alpha_i = \sigma_i(\alpha)$. Let

$$f_0(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \sum_{\alpha \in C} \exp(-\alpha_1 t_1 - \cdots - \alpha_n t_n).$$

We recall the following Formulas from [H1]:

$$\zeta_{K;C}(2) = \int_{t_i > u_i > 0} \left(f_0(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} dt_i \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} du_i \right)$$

$$\zeta_{K;C}(3) = \int_{t_i > u_i > v_i > 0} \left(f_0(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} dt_i \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} du_i \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} dv_i \right)$$

$$\zeta_{K;C}(1,2) = \int_{t_i > u_i > v_i > 0} \left(f_0(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} dt_i \right) \wedge \left(f_0(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} du_i \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} dv_i \right)$$

We can express f_0 as a product of n geometric series, which is given the following Lemma.

Lemma 1.1 *We can express the function f_0 as a product*

$$f_0(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\prod_i \exp(\sigma_i(e_j) t_i)}{1 - \prod_i \exp(\sigma_i(e_j) t_i)}.$$

Proof. Expressing α as

$$\alpha = a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_n e_n,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
f_0(t_1,\ldots,t_n) &= \sum_{\alpha\in C} \exp(-\alpha_1 t_1 - \cdots - \alpha_n t_n). = \\
&= \sum_{\alpha\in C} \exp(-\sigma_1\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j e_j\right) t_1 - \cdots - \sigma_1\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j e_j\right) t_n) = \\
&= \sum_{a_1,\ldots,a_n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j e_j\right) t_i) = \\
&= \sum_{a_1,\ldots,a_n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(a_j e_j) t_i) = \\
&= \sum_{a_1,\ldots,a_n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(e_j) t_i\right)) = \\
&= \sum_{a_1,\ldots,a_n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp\left(-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(e_j) t_i\right)\right)^{a_j} = \\
&= \sum_{a_1,\ldots,a_n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp\left(-\sigma_i(e_j) t_i\right)\right)^{a_j} = \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp\left(-\sigma_i(e_j) t_i\right)}{1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp\left(-\sigma_i(e_j) t_i\right)}\right) = \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(e^{-t_i}\right)^{\sigma_i(e_j)}}{1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(e^{-t_i}\right)^{\sigma_i(e_j)}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

2 Algebraic powers as a polynomial algebra

Let β be a totally positive algebraic integer. We can identify β with its image in one of the real embeddings of the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$, so that $\beta > 1$. Since β is an algebraic integer, there exists a polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that it β is a root of $f(x)$ and the leading coefficient of $f(x)$ is 1. Let

$$f(x) = x^n + b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1 x + b_0.$$

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$. And let R be the following ring.

$$R = K[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}].$$

More structurally, R is the ring of global section of the structure sheaf of products of n copies of the multiplicative group scheme over K, namely, $(\mathbb{G}_{m/K})^n$. Let us define the

operation of raising a monomial f in R to power k. We will denote that by $f^{(k)}$.

$$x_i^{(k)} = x_i^k,$$

for any integer. By convention, if $k = 0$ we define $x_i^0 = 1$. Now we define a key ingredient. Let

$$x_k^{(\beta)} = x_{k+1}, \tag{2.3}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and

$$x_n^{(\beta)} = x_n^{-b_{n-1}} \ldots x_2^{-b_1} x_1^{-b_0}. \tag{2.4}$$

For intuition for Formulas (2.3) and (2.4), consider the following. Think of x_2 as x_1^{β}, where x_1 is a number or e^{-t}. More generally, one should think of x_i as $x_1^{\beta^{i-1}}$. Since $f(\beta) = 0$, we have that $\beta^n = -b_{n-1}\beta^{n-1} - \cdots - b_1\beta - b_0$. When we raise x_i to power β^n, we obtain $x_1^{\beta^n} = x_1^{-b_{n-1}\beta^{n-1}} \ldots x_1^{-b_1\beta} x_1^{-b_0}$.

For a monomial f in R, we define

$$f^{(\beta^2)} = \left(f^{(\beta)}\right)^{(\beta)}.$$

and more generally

$$f^{\beta^{k+1}} = \left(f^{\beta^k}\right)^{(\beta)}.$$

If $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$, then $\gamma = c_0 + c_1\beta + \cdots + c_{n-1}\beta^{n-1}$ for some integers $c_0, \ldots, c_{n-1}$. For a monomial f in R, we define f to the power γ by

$$f^{(\gamma)} = f^{c_0} \times \left(f^{(\beta)}\right)^{c_1} \times \cdots \times \left(f^{(\beta^{n-1})}\right)^{c_{n-1}}.$$

Assume that the field K is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that the elements $e_1, \ldots, e_n$, together with their Galois conjugates, are in a ring $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ for some algebraic integer β in $\mathcal{O}_K$. One can achieve that property by multiplying all generators $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ by a positive integer. We can vary β, without changing the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$, by adding a large positive integer so that for all embeddings $\sigma : K \to \mathbb{C}$, we have that $Re(\sigma(\beta^k)0 > 1$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$.

We can express f_0 as algebraic powers of the variables. Let $y_i = e^{-t_i}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(t_1, \ldots, t_n) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(e^{-t_i}\right)^{\sigma_i(e_j)}}{1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(e^{-t_i}\right)^{\sigma_i(e_j)}} = \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i^{\sigma_i(e_j)}}{1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i^{\sigma_i(e_j)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the previous Section, we can express f_0 as

$$f_0(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i^{(\sigma_i(e_j))}}{1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i^{(\sigma_i(e_j))}}.$$

Definition 2.1 *Let us denote*

$$((y_i)) := (y_1, \ldots, y_n).$$

Let

$$\alpha((y_i)) = \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i^{(\sigma_i(e_j))}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i^{(\sigma_i(e_j))}}$$

and

$$\beta((y_i)) = \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i^{(\sigma_i(e_j))}\right)}{1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i^{(\sigma_i(e_j))}}$$

be differential n-forms. And let

$$\sqrt{D} = det(\sigma_i(e_j)).$$

Lemma 2.2 *If $x_i = e^{-t_i}$ then the measures α and β can be given in terms of $dt_1, \ldots, dt_n$ by*

(a) $\alpha((x_i)) = \sqrt{D} dt_1 \ldots dt_n$;
(b) $\beta((x_i)) = \sqrt{D} f_0(t_1, \ldots, t_n) dt_1 \ldots dt_n$.

We have the following consequence.

Corollary 2.3 *(a)*

$$(\sqrt{D})^2 \zeta_{K,C}(2) = \int_{0<x_i<y_i<1} \beta((x_i)) \wedge \alpha((y_i));$$

(b)

$$(\sqrt{D})^3 \zeta_{K,C}(3) = \int_{0<x_i<y_i<z_i<1} \beta((x_i)) \wedge \alpha((y_i)) \wedge \alpha((z_i));$$

(c)

$$(\sqrt{D})^3 \zeta_{K,C}(1,2) = \int_{0<x_i<y_i<z_i<1} \beta((x_i)) \wedge \beta((y_i)) \wedge \alpha((z_i)).$$

3 Tangential base points

For each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, let $x_{i,j} = x_i^{(\beta^{j-1})}$ for $j = 1, 2 \ldots, n$. We would like to have the following equation among the variables x_{ij}:

$$d(x_{i,j}) = \beta^{j-1} \frac{x_{i,j}}{x_{i,1}} dx_{i,1}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i,j}} = \frac{1}{\beta^{j-1}} \frac{x_{i,1}}{x_{i,j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i,1}}.$$

Then for all $j = 2, \ldots, n$ we consider

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i,j}} = \frac{1}{\beta^{j-1}} \frac{x_{i,1}}{x_{i,j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i,1}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Let $x_{i,1}$ approach 0. By construction of β, we have that $Re(\beta^k) > 1$. Then, when $x_{i,1}$ approaches 0, we would like to have that $x_{i,k}/x_{i,1}$ tends to 0. (Think of $x_{i,k}$ as $x_{i,1}^{\beta^{k-1}}$.) Thus the tangential base point at $(0, \ldots, 0)$ is $0\vec{1}$ for the variable $x_{i,1}$ and the zero vector for the other variables. As a vector in n-dimensional space, the tangential base point at $(0, \ldots, 0)$ is given by the vector $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. For Equation (3.5), we obtain that at the point $(1, \ldots, 1)$ the tangential base point is given by the vector $(1, \beta^{-1}, \ldots, \beta^{-(n-1)})$. Thus, for the variables $(x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,n})$ on $X = (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})^n$, we define a path

$$\gamma_i : (0, 1) \to X$$

Such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \gamma_i(\epsilon) = (0, \ldots, 0)$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 1} \gamma_i(\epsilon) = (1, \ldots, 1).$$

For the end points we pick the above tangent vectors, namely,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{d\gamma_i}{dt}(\epsilon) = (1, 0, \ldots, 0).$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 1} \frac{d\gamma_i}{dt}(\epsilon) = (1, \beta^{-1}, \ldots, \beta^{-(n-1)}).$$

We define the iterated integral on a membrane using the membrane $\gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \gamma_n$.

$$\gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \gamma_n : [0, 1]^n \to X^n.$$

The differential forms α and β are closed. Therefore, we can deform each path γ_i on which x_i varies, keeping the tangential base points the same. Since the differential forms are with rational coefficients and the tangential base points are with algebraic coefficients we obtain that the integrals obtained by iteration are periods. Thus, we have proven Theorem 0.1.

Conjecture 3.1 *Multiple Dedekind zeta values are mixed Tate periods.*

In support of the conjecture, we have that multiple Dedekind zeta values for real quadratic field are mixed Tate periods which are unramified over the corresponding number ring, when the cone of definition is generated by 1 and a totally positive unit.

The difficulty for proving the Conjecture in the general case is that the divisor of the differential forms that we consider might intersect in a quasi-projective variety whose cohomology might not be mixed Tate. In the case of real quadratic rings, we have only one intersection, for which we show explicitly that it produces mixed Tate periods. It would be very useful to structure all intersection of the divisors of the differential forms n-forms α and β for any number field K. That would lead to better understanding of mixed Tate motives over number rings.

Acknowledgements:

I would like to thank Alexander Goncharov to encouraging me to work on this topic. I would like to thank to thank Yuri Manin for the useful discussions. This work was done during my stay at the Max Planck Institute in Mathematics in Bonn.

References

[H1] Horozov, I.: Multiple Dedekind Zeta Functions, Journal fuer die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelle's Journal) DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2014-0055

[H2] Horozov, I.: Periods over Real Quadratic Number Rings, arXiv:1611.01011

[KZ] Kontsevich, M. and Zagier, D.: Periods, Mathematics unlimited - 2001 and beyond, 771-808, Springer, Berlin, 2001.

[Sh] Shintani, T.: On evaluation of zeta functions of totally real algebraic number fields at non-positive integers, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math 23(1976), no.2, 393-417.

[Z] Zagier, D.: On the values at negative integers of the zeta-function of a real quadratic field, L'Enseignement Mathmatique, 22 (1976) 55-95.

Ivan Horozov
City University of New York, Bronx Community College
E-mail: ivan.horozov@bcc.cuny.edu